	Case 1:21-cv-01510-JLT-BAM Documen	t 31 Filed 02/19/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANDREW AVILA,	Case No. 1:21-cv-01510-JLT-BAM (PC)
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
14	FELDER, et al.,	(ECF No. 30)
15	Defendants.	THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE
16	Defendants.	THIRTT (30) DAT DEADLINE
17	Plaintiff Andrew Avila ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
18	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On January 23, 2025, the Court directed Plaintiff to file a second amended complaint	
20	within thirty days. (ECF No. 29.) Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's February 18, 2025	
21	motion for an extension of 120 days (or from February 23, 2025 to May 23, 2025) to file the	
22	second amended complaint. (ECF No. 30.) Plaintiff states that there is good cause for the	
23	extension because he struggles to see, he is untrained in the law, and he is incarcerated with	
24	limited access to legal resources. (Id.)	
25	Having considered the request, the Court finds good cause to grant, in part, the requested	
26	extension of time. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). However, the Court finds that an extension of thirty days,	
27	rather than 120 days, is reasonable under the circumstances.	
28		
		1

Case 1:21-cv-01510-JLT-BAM Document 31 Filed 02/19/25 Page 2 of 2

1	Plaintiff is reminded that his second amended complaint should be brief, Fed. R. Civ. P.	
2	8(a), but it must state what each named defendant did that led to the deprivation of Plaintiff's	
3	constitutional rights, Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678–79 (2009). Although accepted as true	
4	the "[f]actual allegations must be [sufficient] to raise a right to relief above the speculative	
5	level" Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (citations omitted).	
6	Additionally, Plaintiff may not change the nature of this suit by adding new, unrelated	
7	claims in his first amended complaint. George v. Smith, 507 F.3d 605, 607 (7th Cir. 2007) (no	
8	"buckshot" complaints).	
9	Finally, Plaintiff is advised that an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint	
10	Lacey v. Maricopa Cty., 693 F.3d 896, 927 (9th Cir. 2012). Therefore, Plaintiff's amended	
11	complaint must be "complete in itself without reference to the prior or superseded pleading."	
12	Local Rule 220.	
13	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:	
14	1. Plaintiff's motion for extension of time, (ECF No. 30), is GRANTED IN PART;	
15	2. The Clerk's Office shall send Plaintiff a complaint form;	
16	3. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file a second	
17	amended complaint, or file a notice of voluntary dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of	
18	Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); and	
19	4. If Plaintiff fails to file a second amended complaint in compliance with this order,	
20	the Court will recommend dismissal of this action, with prejudice, for failure to obe	
21	a court order and for failure to state a claim.	
22	IT IC CO ODDEDED	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: February 19, 2025 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
25	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
26		